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# Workshop on Faculty Recruitment for Diversity and Excellence 

ADVANCE Program at the University of Michigan
Strategies and Tactics for Recruiting to Improve Diversity and Excellence

## Overview

- Why do we need to recruit a diverse faculty in order to attain excellence?
- What are the obstacles to achieving diversity on the faculty?
- Exactly how do these obstacles affect all faculty careers (some positively and some negatively)?
- What can we do?


## Why do we need to recruit a diverse faculty

## in order to attain excellence?

- Gives us access to talent currently not represented.
- More perspectives are taken into account in devising solutions to problems.
- Heterogeneous groups are more effective in problem solving, demonstrate greater creativity, and improve the vigor of a scholarly community .


## An example of why we need to recruit a diverse faculty in order to attain excellence

Professor's gender has a powerful effect on female students' performance in math and science classes, their likelihood of taking future math and science courses, and their likelihood of graduating with a math, science or engineering degree.

- Carrell, Page, \& West (2009). Sex and Science: How Professor Gender Perpetuates the Gender Gap.


# Why is it difficult to recruit for diversity and excellence? 

## Is the available pool of candidates too homogeneous?

- Partly yes, but it does not fully account for outcomes for either race/ethnicity or gender.
- The situation differs across fields and departments.
- The impact of a reduced pool of candidates is greater for race/ethnicity than for gender.
- Data not available for under-representation of other non-traditional groups (e.g., sexual orientation or disability).


## Why is it difficult to recruit for diversity and excellence?

It is tempting to believe that discrimination against certain groups is a thing of the past, or is only practiced by a small set of uninformed people.

Research shows that we all - regardless of the social groups we belong to - perceive and treat people differently based on their social groups (race/ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, disability, etc.).

## Schemas: Non-conscious Hypotheses

- Schemas (expectations or stereotypes) influence our judgments of others (regardless of our own group).
- All schemas influence group members' expectations about how they will be judged.





## Schemas do..

- allow efficient, if sometimes inaccurate, processing of information.
- often conflict with consciously held or "explicit" attitudes.


## - change based on experience/exposure.

Nosek, Banaji, \& Greenwald (2002). Group Dynamics: Theory, Research and Practice, 6, 101-115. Fiske, Cuddy, Glick, \& Xu (2002). Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 82(6), 878-902.

## Schemas are...

- Widely culturally shared
- Both men and women hold them about gender.
- Both whites and people of color hold them about race/ethnicity.
- People are often not aware of them.
- Applied more under circumstances of:
- Ambiguity (including lack of information)
- Stress from competing tasks
- Time pressure
- Lack of critical mass (minority status)


## Schemas Affect Evaluation

Numerous studies show that schemas affect evaluation, for example:

- Blind auditions
- Evaluation of resumes
- Evaluation of CVs
- Evaluation of job credentials
- Evaluation of fellowship applications
- Letters of recommendation


## Blind Auditions: Gender

Records from major US symphony orchestras from 1970-1996:
-Audition data from 14,000 individuals show the use of a screen increases the probability that a woman will advance from preliminary rounds by 50\%.
-Roster data from 11 major orchestras show the switch to blind auditions
 accounts for $30 \%$ of the increase in the proportion of women among new hires.

## Evaluation of Identical Resumes: Race

- Applicants with African Americansounding names had to send 15 resumes to get a callback, compared to 10 needed by applicants with white-sounding names.
- White names yielded as many
 more callbacks as an additional eight years of experience.


## Evaluation of Identical CVs: Gender

- When evaluating identical application packages, male and female University psychology professors preferred 2:1 to hire "Brian" over "Karen" as an assistant professor.
- When evaluating a more experienced record (at the point of promotion to tenure), reservations were expressed four times more
 often when the name was female.


## Evaluation of Identical Resumes: Gender and Sexual Orientation

- Nearly identical resumes of law students applying to internships in Canadian law firms.
- Gay-labelled male applicants received $62 \%$ as many offers as other male applicants.
- Gay-labelled female applicants received $50 \%$ as many offers as other female applicants.


Similar and expanded findings: Weichselbaumer (2003)

## Why do race cues produce different evaluations? Ambiguity in Job Credentials: Race

- Identical resumes, but ambiguous fit of credentials to job (rather than ambiguous credentials)
-A sample of white evaluators recommended
- Black candidate $45 \%$ of the time
- White candidate $76 \%$ of the time
- Whites get "benefit of the doubt" in ambiguous situations-bias leading to advantage in this case.


## Critical Mass Affects the Use of Schemas

- When there are many individuals, we differentiate among them and cannot rely on group-based schemas.
- In both experimental and field settings, increasing the female share of those being rated increased ratings of female applicants and employees.


## Evaluation of Fellowship Applications: Gender

"...the success rate of female scientists applying for postdoctoral fellowships at the [Swedish Medical Research Council] during the 1990s has been less than half that of male applicants."

Women applying for a postdoctoral fellowship had to be 2.5 times more productive to receive the same reviewer rating as the average male applicant.


## Letters of Recommendation for Successful Medical School Faculty Applicants

## Differences

Letters for men:

- Longer
- More references to:
- CV
- Publications
- Patients
- Colleagues


Letters for women :

- Shorter
- More references to personal life
- More "doubt raisers" (hedges, faint praise, and irrelevancies)
"It's amazing how much she's accomplished."
"It appears her health is stable."
"She is close to my wife."


## Exactly how do schemas affect the careers of women and underrepresented minorities?

## Impact of Schemas about Parenthood

Assumptions about the implications of motherhood for women's career commitment have consequences, despite recent data showing that:

- Women academics who marry and have families publish as many articles per year as single women.
- "...net sex differences in productivity are small to nil once other personal characteristics, structural settings, and facilitating resources are taken into account." (Xie \& Shauman, p.191)


## Hiring, Assessments, and Salaries: Mothers

When evaluating identical applications:

- Evaluators rated mothers as less competent and committed to paid work than nonmothers.
- Prospective employers called mothers back about half as often as nonmothers.
- Mothers were less likely to be
 recommended for hire, promotion, and management, and were offered lower starting salaries than nonmothers.


## Hiring, Assessments, and Salaries: Fathers

When evaluating identical applications:

- Fathers were not disadvantaged in the hiring process.
- Fathers were seen as more committed to paid work and offered higher starting salaries
 than nonfathers.


## Student Evaluation of Teaching Credibility: Sexual Orientation

One male instructor provided a guest lecture to 8 sections of a communication course.

- In half of lectures, he referred to "my partner Jennifer" and in other half to "my partner Jason."
- The "straight" instructor received 22\% more positive comments than the "gay"
 instructor.
- The "straight" instructor received $81 \%$ fewer negative comments than the "gay" instructor.

Russ, Simonds, and Hunt (2002) Communication Education, 51:3, 311-

## Racial Diversity Matters in Jury and Search Deliberations

Compared with all-white juries, diverse juries deliberating about an African American defendant:

- Took longer to discuss the case
- Mentioned more facts
- Made fewer inaccurate statements
- Left fewer inaccurate statements uncorrected
- Discussed more race-related issues

Jury deliberations may be analogous to faculty search deliberations.

## Impact of Schemas on Leadership

- With single sex groups, observers identify the person at the head of the table as the leader.
- With mixed sex groups
- a male seated at the head of the table is identified as the leader.

- a female seated at the head of the table is identified as the leader only half the time (and a male seated somewhere else is identified the other half).


## Biased Leadership Outcomes

## Leadership for Asians in Academia

$15 \%$ of life scientists in the US are Asian/Asian American.

Of the 26 council members and 193 members of 11 standing committees in the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology in 2005, none was Asian/Asian American.

# Accumulation of Advantage and Disadvantage 

- Any one slight may seem minor, but since small imbalances and disadvantages accrue, they can have major consequences in salary, promotion, and prestige, including advancement to leadership positions.
- "Mountains are molehills piled one on
 top of the other." (Valian, 1998, p. 4)


## Impact of Schemas on Career: Processes Are Similar

## Similarities for different groups

-Importance and impact of schemas
-Lack of critical mass leads to reliance on schemas
-Evaluation bias operates
-Accumulation of disadvantages operates

## Impact of Schemas on Career : Schemas Are Different

## Differences between groups

- Content of schemas
- Likelihood of solo status greater for racial/ethnic minorities than white women; sexual minorities and people with disabilities are also likely to experience solo status
- Less full pipeline for racial/ethnic minorities, sexual minorities and people with disabilities than white women
- Added complexity for women of color and others with intersecting identities (e.g., gay African American men, lesbians)


## If We Do Not Actively Intervene, The Cycle Reproduces Itself



## Schemas Produce a Self-Reinforcing Cycle

Schemas, solo status, and lack of critical mass make differential outcomes seem "natural" or expected:

- who is in jobs
- who applies for jobs
- how they are evaluated
- who is promoted to leadership positions

Schemas, solo status, and lack of critical mass provide unconscious justification for the status quo despite our good intentions and stated values.
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## Policies Reproduce a Self-Reinforcing Cycle

- Schemas are embodied in policies.
- Tendency to under-value people who do not fit conventional definitions of the discipline.
- Concentration of white men at the top; overlooking women and minorities for leadership positions.
- Narrow and homogeneous social and professional networks.
- Late and reactive implementation of family friendly policies.
- Students' awkward, confused, or challenging reactions to faculty who are racial/ethnic minorities, women, or sexual minorities.


## Break-out Session

- Purpose of break-out session
- To provide an opportunity to discuss scenarios and brainstorm strategies to minimize evaluation bias or other difficulties
- Logistics of break-out session
- Process of break-out session
- Identify strategies to address scenarios
- After the break-out session, we will present the final section: strategies that address the under-representation of women and minorities in faculty recruitment.

STRIDE
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## What can we do?

## Strategies for Breaking the Cycle

- Increase conscious awareness of bias and how bias leads to overlooking talent
- Implicit Association Test: https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/
- Develop more explicit criteria (less ambiguity)
- Alter departmental policies and practices


## Recruiting Strategies

- Prime the pump - searching begins before position is available
- Search committee composition

- Job description -" open" searches
- Advertisement and active recruiting
- Promote awareness of the issues
- Interviewing tips



## Active Recruiting and Open Searches Can Help Increase Diversity



The difference achieved by one UMich department

## UM Faculty Experience with Open Searches Has Been Positive

"The open searches led to both a larger number of applicants AND a more diverse applicant pool."
"I was not sure if the 'open search' is the best way to attract the best candidates to apply for job. I am convinced now it is indeed an excellent strategy to add 'new blood' to our department."
"The open searches led to a department-wide discussion of all of the applicants. This has the added benefit of everyone on the faculty knowing the candidate and being invested in their success from their first day on campus."

## Evaluation of Candidates: Promote Awareness of Evaluation Bias

- Awareness of evaluation bias is a critical first step. Remember the lessons of:
- Blind Auditions
- CVs and Resumes
- Letters of Recommendation
- Spread awareness to the others on the search committee.
- Evaluation bias can be counteracted.



## Focus on Multiple Specific Criteria during Evaluation

- Weigh judgments that reflect examination of all materials and direct contact with the candidate.
- Specify evaluations of scholarly productivity, research funding, teaching ability, ability to be a conscientious departmental/university member, fit with the department's priorities.
- Avoid "global" evaluations.
- ADVANCE has evaluation forms that can be modified to fit your situation.


## Candidate Evaluation Tool

Please indicate which of the following are true for you (check all that apply):

- Read candidate's CV
- Read candidate's scholarship
$\square$ Read candidate's letters of recommendation
$\square \quad$ Attended candidate's job talk
- Met with candidate
- Attended lunch or dinner with candidate Other (please explain)
$\qquad$

Please rate the candidate on each of the following:
Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impact
Potential for (Evidence of) research productivity
Potential for (Evidence of) research funding
Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration
Fit with department's priorities
Ability to make positive contribution to department's climate
Potential (Demonstrated ability) to attract and supervise graduate students Potential (Demonstrated ability) to teach and supervise undergraduates Potential (Demonstrated ability) to be a conscientious university community member C/Piticion 43

## Interviewing Tips

- Bringing in more than one female and/or minority candidate can disproportionately increase the likelihood that a woman and/or minority will be hired.
- Treat female and minority faculty applicants as scholars and educators, not as valuable because they are female or minority scholars and educators.

[^0]
# Importance of Meeting Others Who Are Similar 

- Some candidates will easily meet many people in the department who share their personal characteristics (race/ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, parent status), but others will not.
- Ensure that all candidates will meet a diverse set of people so that they are more likely to meet someone like them.


## Avoid Questions that Might be Construed as Discriminatory or Offensive

- Federal Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) laws and regulations prohibit discrimination against applicants on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, handicapping condition, marital status, or political affiliation.
- Interviews should evaluate qualifications of the applicant that are relevant to a faculty position - questions about matters that are not job relevant (i.e. family status) are not allowed.
- Chart of appropriate and inappropriate questions is available at:
http://www.hr.umich.edu/empserv/department/empsel/legalchart.html


# Asking Non-Job-Related Questions Can Be Counter-Productive 

In a 2007 study of candidates for positions at a UM department, who withdrew from searches or turned down offers, several women mentioned that they had been asked illegal and discriminatory questions about their personal lives.

- One candidate reported that she did not answer truthfully (knew the "right" answer and gave it)
- One candidate reported that she resented the questions
university of michigan ADVANCE 47


## Unique Challenges for Recruiting LGBT Faculty

- Federal Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Iaws and regulations do not provide protection for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender/transsexual individuals.
- U.S. immigration policy prohibits LGBT individuals from sponsoring their partners for immigration purposes, even when legally married in country of origin.
- Hesitation in requesting dual career assistance for partner.


## Positive Approaches to Role of Personal Life for Faculty Candidates

- Many faculty have two-career households.
- Female faculty are more likely to have a partner who is employed fulltime.
- UM climate study (2006)
- At UMich, "family" is defined broadly, but candidates may not expect that. What policies does your university have?
- Family friendly policies provide resources to help both male and female faculty manage households.

- Process should begin early:
- Distribute family friendly policy information to all candidates before or during first visit.
- Expeditiously address family issues raised by candidate.
McNeil and Sher(1999) Physics Today, 32-37
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## Dual Career Issues Should Not be Discussed by the Search Committee

- Identify someone with whom the candidate can have a confidential conversation in which they could ask questions they don't want to ask the search committee.
- Ensure all candidates know about dual career support mechanisms available at your University.
- Support for dual careers enhances both recruitment and retention of men and women.


## Top Mistakes in Recruitment

- Committee does not have a diverse pool.
- The committee discussed information about the candidate that is inappropriate.
- Asking counter-productive questions.
- Telling a woman or underrepresented minority candidate that "we want you because we need diversity."
- The candidate does not meet others like themselves during the visit.
- Committee or faculty make summary judgments about candidates without using specific criteria.


## Recruitment is just the beginning!

- Provide help with networking and getting established on the faculty in your department and your university.
- Show an interest in other aspects of adjustment to life in a new environment and in a new job.


[^0]:    Heilman, 1980, Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 26: 386-95. Hewstone et al., 2006, Group Processes \& Intergroup Relations, 9(4): 509-532. Huffcutt \& Roth, 1998, Journal of Applied Psychology, 83(2): 179-189. Van Ommeren et al., 2005, Psychological Reports, 96: 349-360.

