

Reviewer's Overall Assessment (For Review Training Webinar Use Only)

Reviewer	
Reviewing Editor	Margaret McCarthy
Date Due	14 th April 16
Manuscript #	eN-NWR-0005-15
Title	Cerebellar Directed Optogenetic Intervention inhibits spontaneous hippocampal seizures in a mouse model of temporal lobe epilepsy

Thank you for providing your services as a reviewer for eNeuro. Once all the reviews of this manuscript have been received, we ask that you participate in a consultation where a consensus on the reviews will be sought by the Reviewing Editor. Once consensus is achieved, the Reviewing Editor will present a Synthesis of the reviews for you to approve that will be provided to the authors. An email will be sent to you with a link to the system when the consultation has begun where you can view the reviews and make comments.

The review process for eNeuro is double-blind for authors and reviewers. If you were able to ascertain the identity of any of the authors of this manuscript, please return to the previous screen and use the Send Manuscript Correspondence link to inform the Central Office that you will need to be withdrawn as a reviewer of this manuscript and provide an explanation.

NOTE: Completing the review form is a two-step process. First, fill out the review form and select Finalize. Next, scroll through the form and confirm review is correct, then select Confirm and Submit Review.

In the event that this paper is accepted for publication, your name will be included in association with the synthesis of reviews. If you do not want your name released, please check this box.

*Recommendation

A manuscript that advances the field and is potentially appropriate for publication in *eNeuro*:

- Accept:** As is.
- Revise - Editor Review Only:** Minor revisions requiring review by the editor.
- Revise and Re-Review:** Requires less than 2 months' work.
- Reject - Resubmission Allowed:** Requires more than 2 months' work (including additional experiments).
- Reject - No Resubmission Allowed:** Mainly descriptive without sufficient insight into the functional meaning and/or providing convincing evidence to draw conclusions and/or contains technical or methodological concerns.

*Advances the Field (Required)

Yes No

Please explain below why the manuscript does or does not provide advances in the field:

***Newsworthiness**

Do you think this manuscript is sufficiently noteworthy to be highlighted in *eNeuro*?

Yes No

If you have concerns about human or animal subject welfare, misconduct, or conflicts of interest, please explain here:

***Comments to the Author(s)**

If you have received assistance with this review from a student or postdoc in your lab, please provide the colleague's name and e-mail address below.

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes No